

CE 24

14th June.

The Secretary
Committee of Enquiry
Room 5 A. 108
Social & Comparative Studies Building

I agree with your statement of principle
dated 11th June with the following reservations:

In paragraph 3(b) reference is made to
"-- accepted conventions for meetings ---". Whilst most
would agree that such conventions exist it is no
longer clear that the majority of this University
community is prepared to accept them. For this
reason it is desirable that the statement should be more
specific on "accepted conventions" in the general context.

Paragraph 3(c) refers to morally justifiable circumstances,
which is admirable. When however it proceeds to talk of
disciplinary measures it asks for the extent of 'moral justification'
to be taken into account. The inserted commas are significant as
no consensus on ~~the~~ extent of moral justification will ever be found.

Tudor Sprinks