

CE 37

June 16, 1968.

The Secretary,  
Committee of Enquiry.

Sir,

Re: Circular from the Chairman, 11th June.

1. I am in general agreement with paragraphs 3(a) and 6(b).

2. Paragraph 3(c) appears to contradict or weaken paragraphs (a) and (b). Society treads in dangerous ground when "moral justification" has to be defined. Who is to make a moral judgement? Joseph McCarthy or Joseph Stalin or the Puritan Alliance? Does any individual or group of people have the right and wisdom to define morality for another group of people? In the present case, does one group of people have the right to disturb the meeting of another group simply because the first group feels that the subject discussed by the second group is immoral?

Thus I strongly urge that considerations of freedom of speech be kept independent of moral judgement.

3. A minor point: In paragraphs (a) and (b), the term "group" should be defined as a duly constituted and recognised one. Otherwise a situation where a group of three people invite 3000 people to the campus to discuss with them might arise.

Yours truly,

*R.S. Tse*

R.S. Tse